

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,076	03/13/2000	Peter Chalkowsky	TN-1698	6474
75	10/21/2003		EXAM	INER
Adan Ayala ,			ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER	
TW199 Black & Decker Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
701 East Joppa Road			3724	
Towson, MD	21286		DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	3
				1.1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		ΛΛ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Ossiaa Aasiaa Saaraa	09/524,076	CHALKOWSKY, PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this assumination	Boyer D. Ashley	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	September 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
P)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by th e Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)	,,	· ·····				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/5/03 has been entered, wherein claims 1 and 4 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by DE 197 06 408, hereinafter DE '408.

DE '408 discloses the same invention as claimed as set forth in paragraph 4 of paper number 6 and further including, for example, a non-removable fence (6',7') attached to the base assembly (16/17) for supporting a workpiece; and wherein the area of the blade below the table plane when plunged is capable of being between about 14.4 % and 17.5 % depending upon how much the blade is moved.

As to claim 3, the side stop (6') is slidable mounted.

Page 3

As to claim 5, the distance between the blade center and the table plane is capable of being about 0.57 time the radius of the 10 inch diameter blade of DE '408. when the blade is plunged below the table plane depending upon how much the blade is moved/plunged.

As to claim 6, the chord length of the 10-inch diameter blade of DE '408 at the periphery when the plunged below the table plane is at least 1.5 times the radius depending upon the amount of the blade that is plunged/moved.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant contends that when the blade of DE '408 is plunged below the table plane, 18.22 percent of the total blade area is below the table. However, as stated above the blade of DE '408 is fully capable of having about 14.4 to 17.5 percent of the total blade area below the table plane depending upon the amount of the blade that is plunged/moved.

Applicant contends that the fences of DE '408 can be removed yet the claims of the instant application require that the fence be non-removable. However, DE '408 discloses that the fences, particularly the embodiment of Figure 5, can be integrally

Art Unit: 3724

formed with the bed plate unit. Therefore, DE '408 discloses fences that are non-removable.

Applicant further contends that the arrangement of the embodiment of Figure 5 can not cut both nominal 4x4's and 6.5x3/4's. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The arrangement of the embodiment of Figure 5 of DE '408 is clearly capable of cutting both 4x4's and 6.5x3/4's by merely locating the 4x4's in the center (not against the fence) and locating the 6.5x3/4's against the fence.

6. For the reasons above, the grounds of rejection are deemed proper.

Response to Amendment

7. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 9/5/03 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-6 based upon DE '408 as set forth in the last Office action because claims 1-6 are no longer rejected under 35 USC 103.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 703-308-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Bòyer¹D. Ashley) Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

BDA September 4, 2003